

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,434	RAMEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shane Bomar	3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____.

(2) Dean Domingue.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005

Time: 8:45am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendment filed on 11/14/2005 placed the application in condition for allowance, except that it was noted that the use of "bushing" and "spider" in the preamble was not proper since the body of the claim only refers to a bowl. It was agreed during the telephone conversation to remove those two words via an Examiner's Amendment.